

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/709,212	04/21/2004	Qiming Li	19.0405	3211	
23718 SCHLUMBER	7590 01/11/2008 GER OILFIELD SERV	ICES	S		
200 GILLING		WHITTINGTON, KENNETH			
MD 200-9	MD 200-9 SUGAR LAND, TX 77478		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
JOOAK LAIVI			2862		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
		•	01/11/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

			TH		
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)			
Advisory Action	10/709,212	LI ET AL.			
Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief	Examiner	Art Unit			
·	Kenneth J. Whittington	2862			
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress		
THE REPLY FILED 17 December 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.			
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follow places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Not a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliant time periods:	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in o ce with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply mo	fidavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)		
 a)		in the final rejection, wh	iohovorio lator. In		
no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire?					
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7	06.07(f).				
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amount shortened statutory period for reply orig r than three months after the mailing da	of the fee. The appropri inally set in the final Office	iate extension fee ce action; or (2) as		
 The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed 	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th			
AMENDMENTS 2. M. The respondence of a second control of the contr					
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because (a) They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);					
(b) ☐ They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);					
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be	tter form for appeal by materially re	ducing or simplifying	the issues for		
appeal; and/or	corresponding accepts of Englisher	a ata di alaima			
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected ciaims.			
NOTE: <u>See Continuation Sheet</u> . (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-324).					
5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s)		inpliant / incliancine (,i roc-oz-i.		
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the					
non-allowable claim(s).					
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) allowed:	will not be entered, or b) will will will will will will will	I be entered and an e	xplanation of		
Claim(s) objected to: <u>19-39</u> . Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-18</u> .					
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: <u>40-71</u> .					
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE					
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	It before or on the date of filing a No d sufficient reasons why the affidav	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> it or other evidence is	t be entered necessary and		
9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary	vercome all rejections under appea	al and/or appellant fail	Is to provide a		
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER	n of the status of the claims after e	ntry is below or attach	ed.		
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but	t does NOT place the application in	condition for allowar	ice because:		
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	4	1		

PRIMARY EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2800
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office

13. Other: _____.

PTOL-303 (Rev. 08-06)

Continuation of 3. NOTE:

The claims, particularly claim 1, have been amended to include the feature wherein the tilted magnetic dipole deviates from a plane perpendicular to the longitudinal axis which is new to the claims which will require further search and consideration before any determination of patentability can be made.

Furthermore, while the amendment appears to overcome the Fanini (US2004/0100263) reference applied in the Final Rejection, it appears that the at least claim 1 would be rejectable as being anticipated by Minerbo et al. (US6304086), note FIGS. 4 and 6 of Minerbo et al. and the disclosure related thereto. Further search and consideration will also be required to determine whether claim 1 would be rejectable as well as which dependent claims would also be rejectable.

It is also noted that Applicants have cancelled claim 10, but left claim 11 which is dependent on cancelled claim 10. Claim 11 must thus be corrected.

Also, claims 12 and 13 are now listed as depending from claim 1. However, in the last filed claim set (11/6/06), both of these claims depended from claim 10, not claim 1. Thus, they are improperly amended in this amendment, which requires claim identifiers for all claim changes.

For the forgoing reasons, the Amendment will not be entered and the claims are treated as outlined in the Final Rejection mailed October 15, 2007.